Initial Equality Analysis (EIA) Resident/Service User

1. Details of function, policy, procedure or service:				
Title of what is being assessed:		Adults and Communities Staffing Efficiencies		
Is it a new or revised function, policy, procedure or service?		Function		
Department and Section:		Adults and Communities		
Date assessment completed:		10/11/15		
2. Names and roles of people completing this assessment:				
Lead officer	Alan Mordue			
Other groups				
3. Employee Profile of the	Will the proposal affect employees? YES/ NO			
Project	If no please explain why.			
	If yes, please seek assistance from HR to complete the employee EIA.			

How are the following equality strands affected? Please detail the effect on each equality strand, and any mitigating action you have taken / required. Please include any relevant data. If you do not have relevant data please explain why / plans to capture data

Equality Strand	Affected?	Explain how affected	Indicate what action has been
		Staffing efficiencies coupled with a rebalance of the staff skills mix are proposed to deliver cost savings. The elements of the proposals are:	taken / or is planned to mitigate
		 Deletion of qualified Social Worker posts and replacing these with Assessment and Enablement Officers, who do not require a qualification in Social Work to carry out their duties. Reductions in capacity and changes in workload / responsibilities within Community and Wellbeing teams Management streamlining Reporting changes in the operational teams. The proposals are designed to deliver staffing efficiencies without impacting on front line services and to enable cost savings in terms of salary. The increase in Assessment and Enablement Officers will enable Social Workers to focus on more complex statutory and safeguarding activities. It is not expected that these changes will impact on service users and residents.	impact?
1. Age	Yes 🗌 No 🔀	No foreseen impact. Residential Breakdown of Age (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15): Age: 65+ 51,576 14% Under 65 315,690 86% Client breakdown of age (SWIFT 14/10/15): Age: 65+ 3039 65+ 3039 55+ 3039 9000000000000000000000000000000000000	

2. Disabilit	, Yes	No foreseen impact.					
	No 🖂						
		Barnet population predicted to have a disability (Barnet Members Dashboard 11/11/15)					
		Category					
		Physical Health 81,652 46.48%					
		Mental Health 62,032 35.31%					
		Substance Misuse 22,092 12.58%					
		Learning Disability 9,894 5.63%					
		Barnet population who are using one or more services targeted for people with disabilities in 2014. Each service user is assigned to the category considered most relevant, although it is possible for one person to have more than one disability. (Barnet Members Dashboard 11/11/15)					
		Category					
		Physical Health 4,564 61.34%					
		Mental Health 1,802 24.22%					
		Learning Disability 870 11.69%					
		Other Vulnerable People 171 2.30%					
		Substance Misuse 33 0.44%					
 Gender reassigr ent 	Yes □ Im No ⊠	No foreseen impact.					
4. Pregnar and maternit	No 🖂	No foreseen impact.					
5. Race /	Yes 🗌	No foreseen impact.					
Ethnicity	∕ No ⊠	Ethnic Group - Numbers and Proportion of Total Population (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15)					
		Ethnicity: White British 2,622 52.18%					
		White Other 766 15.24%					
		Asian/Asian British Indian 377 7.50%					
		Any Other Ethnic Group 283 5.63%					
		Black/Black British African 184 3.66%					
		White Irish 151 3.00%					
		Asian/Asian British Other 134 2.67%					
		Black/Black British Caribbean 124 2.47%					
		Black/Black British Other 74 1.47%					
		Refused 64 1.27%					
		Not Recorded 58 1.15%					
		Asian/Asian British Pakistani 57 1.13%					
		Mixed Other 32 0.64%					

		Ohingga	~7	0 5 404
		Chinese	27	0.54%
		Asian/Asian British Bangladeshi	20	0.40%
		Mixed White & Asian	16	0.32%
		Mixed White & Black Caribbean	12	0.24%
		Mixed White & Black African	11	0.22%
		Not Stated	8	0.16%
		Arab	5	0.10%
		Client breakdown of ethnicity (SW	/IFT 14/1	0/15)
		Ethnicity:		,
		White British	2,622	52.18%
		White Other	766	15.24%
		Asian/Asian British Indian	377	7.50%
		Any Other Ethnic Group	283	5.63%
		Black/Black British African	184	3.66%
		White Irish	151	3.00%
		Asian/Asian British Other	134	2.67%
		Black/Black British Caribbean	124	2.47%
		Black/Black British Other	74	1.47%
		Refused	64	1.27%
		Not Recorded	58	1.15%
		Asian/Asian British Pakistani	57	1.13%
		Mixed Other	32	0.64%
		Chinese	27	0.54%
		Asian/Asian British Bangladeshi	20	0.40%
		Mixed White & Asian	16	0.32%
		Mixed White & Black Caribbean	12	0.24%
		Mixed White & Black African	11	0.22%
		Not Stated	8	0.16%
		Arab	5	0.10%
6. Religion or	Yes 🗌	No foreseen impact.		
belief	No 🖂	Residential breakdown of religion Dashboard 9/9/15)	(Equaliti	es Data
		Area		
		Christian 146,866	41.21	%
		No religion 57,297	16.08	
		Jewish 54,084	15.18	
		Muslim 36,744	10.31	
		Religion not stated 29,917	8.39	
		Hindu 21,924	6.15	
		Buddhist 4,521	1.27	
		Other religion 3,764	1.06	
		outer religion o, ron	1.00	//0

0.11	4 000	0.000/
Sikh	1,269	0.36%
Client breakdown of relig	ion (SWIF	T 11/10/15
Religion		1 14/10/10
Christian	948	18.87%
Jewish	948	18.07%
Church Of England	562	11.18%
No Religion		
Not Recorded	558	11.10%
Roman Catholic	541	10.77%
Muslim	439	8.74%
Hindu	307	6.11%
	283	5.63%
Information Refused	148	2.95%
Greek Orthodox	104	2.07%
Methodist	30	0.60%
Buddhist	28	0.56%
Atheist	19	0.38%
Jain	19	0.38%
Jehovah Witness	19	0.36%
Agnostic	16	0.32%
Sikh	16	0.32%
Catholic Apostolic		
Church	14	0.28%
Ismaili Muslim	14	0.28%
Baptist	7	0.14%
Orthodox Church	6	0.12%
Pentecostal	6	0.12%
Anglican	5	0.10%
Z Other	5	0.10%
Adventist	4	0.08%
Church Of Ireland	3	0.06%
Zowastrian	3	0.06%
Humanist	2	0.04%
Pagan	2	0.04%
Quaker	2	0.04%
Rastafarian	2	0.04%
African Methodist	1	0.04 %
Ancestor Worship		
Arian Catholic	1	0.02%
Kabbalah	1	0.02%
	1	0.02%
Scientologist	1	0.02%
Spiritualist	1	0.02%

7. Gender /	Yes 🗌	No foreseen impact.				
sex	No 🖂					
		Residential breakdown of Gender (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15):				
		Gender:				
		Female 187,685 51.10%				
		Male 179,580 48.90%				
		Client breakdown of Conder (SM/ET 14/10/15);				
		Client breakdown of Gender (SWIFT 14/10/15): Gender:				
		Female 3,030 60.30%				
		Male 1,986 39.52%				
		Unknown 9 0.18%				
	Yes	No foreseen impact.				
8. Sexual orientation						
		See 9. Same sex civil partnerships give an indicator of homosexual relationships.				
9. Marital	Yes	No foreseen impact.				
9. Marital Status	No 🖂					
		Residential marital status breakdown (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15)				
		Area				
		Single (never married or				
		never registered a same-sex 36,679 26.99%				
		civil partnership)				
		Married 64,204 47.24%				
		In a registered same-sex civil partnership 300 0.22%				
		Separated (but still legally				
		married or still legally in a 6,216 4.57% same-sex civil partnership)				
		Divorced or formerly in a same-sex civil partnership 15,859 11.67%				
		which is now legally dissolved				
		Widowed or surviving partner				
		from a same-sex civil 12,658 9.31% partnership				
	Yes	No foreseen impact				
10. Other key		No foreseen impact.				
groups?	No 🖂					

Carers	Yes 🗌 No 🖂	No foreseen impact. Residential breakdown of carers (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15) Area Barnet Provides no unpaid care 320,341 90.85% Provides 1 to 19 hours 21,448 6.08% unpaid care a week 4,584 1.30% Provides 20 to 49 hours 4,584 1.30% unpaid care a week 6,224 1.77%
People with mental health issues	Yes 🗌 No 🖂	No foreseen impact. See 2.
Some families and lone parents	Yes 🗌 No 🖂	No foreseen impact. Lone Parents with Dependent Children - Number and Proportion of Total Residents (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15) Barnet Total Residents 352,597 One family only: Lone 28,889 8.19%
People with a low income	Yes □ No ⊠	No foreseen impact.
Unemployed people	Yes 🗌 No 🖾	No foreseen impact. Annual Population Survey (Employment Rate % (16-64)) March 2015 (Equalities Data Dashboard 9/9/15) Barnet All Persons 68.20% Male Employment Rate 75.00% Female Employment Rate 61.70%
Young people not in employment education or training	Yes 🗌 No 🖂	No foreseen impact.

5. Please outline what data sources, measures and methods could be designed to monitor the impact of the new policy or service, the achievement of intended outcomes and the identification of any unintended or adverse impact?

Include how frequently monitoring could be conducted and who will be made aware of the analysis and outcomes

Data about the following is already collected and monitored on a regular basis:

- Delayed transfer of care (DTOC).
- Length of time between reviews (i.e. waiting times)
- Volumes of reviews undertaken.
- Satisfaction rates
- Assessment volumes

Any unforeseen adverse impact of this restructure would probably be reflected in an increase with these metrics, however, other factors (e.g. an increase in demand) would also result in increases. If there is any unexpected change in these metrics, it will be investigated.

6. Initial Assessment of Overall Impact							
Positive Impact	Negative Impact or Impact Not Known ¹	No Impact					
7. Scale of Impact							
Positive impact:	Negative Impact or Impact Not Known						
Minimal 🗌 Significant 🗌	Minimal ⊠ Significant □						

8. Outcome					
No change to decision	Adjustment needed to decision	Continue with decision (despite adverse impact / missed opportunity)	If significant negative impact - Stop / rethink		
		\boxtimes			

¹ 'Impact Not Known' – tick this box if there is no up-to-date data or information to show the effects or outcomes of the function, policy, procedure or service on all of the equality strands.

9. Please give a full explanation for how the initial assessment and outcome was decided.

Modelling has been done to identify the productivity gains that can be made from smarter working through new technology and the introduction of Mosaic. It has been identified that these gains can mitigate the staff reductions proposed and so there should be no service impact. Performance will be carefully monitored and reported to confirm that this is the case.